

Clark County School District

Cheyenne HS

2025-2026 School Improvement Plan

Classification: 2 Star School

Title I



Mission Statement

Purpose/Cause/Passion: To enrich the quality of life of our students.

Cheyenne HS uses a Vision/Traction Organizer to fully express it's mission to the community. You can find that [here](#).

Vision

Our Niche: Providing educational experiences so every student can thrive.

Cheyenne HS uses a Vision/Traction Organizer to fully express it's vision to the community. You can find that [here](#).

Demographics & Performance Information

Nevada Report Card

In compliance with federal and state law, Nevada's K-12 Accountability Portal provides detailed information about each school's student and staff demographics and school performance rating, a star-rating system based on the Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF). You can find our School Rating Report at https://nevadareportcard.nv.gov/DI/nv/clark/cheyenney_high_school/nspf/

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Student Success	4
Adult Learning Culture	10
Connectedness	15
Priority Problem Statements	20
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	21
Inquiry Areas	22
Inquiry Area 1: Student Success	22
Inquiry Area 2: Adult Learning Culture	29
Inquiry Area 3: Connectedness	31
Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements	33
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	33
2.1: School Performance Plan (SPP) developed with appropriate stakeholders	33
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	33
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	33
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	33
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	33

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	33
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	34
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent Involvement and Family Engagement Policy	34
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	34
5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy	34
Plan Notes	35
School Continuous Improvement Team	36
Community Outreach Activities	37

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Success

Student Success Areas of Strength

During the 24/25 school year, the percentage of students scoring at or above the 61st percentile significantly improved in both Reading (+5%) and Math (+6%) on the Spring MAP Benchmark Assessment compared to the previous school year. This was also the second consecutive year that the number of students scoring at or above the 61st percentile increased during each administration of the MAP Benchmark Assessment (Math: 15%, 24%, 32%; Reading: 18%, 25%, 28%). During the 2021/22 school year, the graduation rate was the lowest recorded in the school's history (75.5%). Over the past three years, the graduation rate has steadily increased and was 85.9% at the end of the 2024/2025 school year. The on-time attendance rate for the 2024/25 school year was 79%, which represented about a 5% increase since the 2021/22 school year. Chronic absenteeism has dropped from a high of 54% during the 2022/23 school year to 43.2% during the 2024/25 school year. Over the past three years, teachers have developed common end-of-quarter summative assessments based on high-quality Tier 1 instructional materials in order to maintain consistent expectations around rigor in all courses.

Student Success Areas for Growth

While there was a 20% reduction in the among Black/African American and Hispanic/Latino students scoring between the 1st and 40th percentile on the 2024 Spring MAP Benchmark assessment, 57.82% of Black/African American students and 50.51% of Hispanic/Latino students scored between the 1st and 40th percentile. The mean ACT composite score increased from 14.0 during the 2023-2024 school year to 14.2 during the 2024-2025 school year.

Student Success Equity Resource Supports

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
		<p>Improvement Strategy: Professional Learning Communities</p> <p>Evidence Level (1-Strong; 2-Moderate; 3-Promising; 4-Demonstrates a Rationale): 2</p> <p>Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Action Step: A learning strategist has been provided with time to meet with targeted students in order to ensure expectations are understood.◦ Monitoring Plan: <i>Monitoring will occur through data analysis.</i>

English Learners

Data Reviewed

ELL ELA Proficiency: ACT 2023-2024:

4.6%

ELL Math Proficiency: ACT 2023-2024:

0.0%

ELL WIDA Met AGP: 5.2%

The Fall MAP Benchmark shows that ELL students have an average Math RIT score of 204 which is 9 points below the school average (215). In Reading the average RIT score is 210 which is also 9 points below the school average (219).

Root Causes: As evidenced by ACT, WIDA, and MAP, the problem is English language learners are performing in the bottom 30th percentile in the state in 2022. A root cause of low performance of English language learners in language proficiency and content achievement is due to the need for additional knowledge and skills for educators to deliver effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners' access to the content that builds English language development and content simultaneously. Students need effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I.

Goals:

- **Language Arts Summative Assessment**
 - Increase the percent of ELLs **proficient** in

- **Person Responsible:** (Responsible: Administration and learning strategist)
- **Action Step:** *Teachers will facilitate a Data Driven Instruction and Assessment Cycle through the use of Professional Learning Communities during which instruction and assessment are aligned to student needs and grade level standards.*
 - **Monitoring Plan:** Monitoring will occur through data analysis.
 - **Person Responsible:** Administrators, Learning Strategists, and teachers
- **Action Step:** Three language acquisition classes have been created to provide targeted support to second language learners in order to assist them to master grade level content.
 - **Monitoring Plan:** Master Schedule; monitored by classroom observations, assessment data, and grades
 - **Person Responsible:** Administration strategists, and teachers;
- **Action Step:** Core content Tier I instruction will be planned with appropriate scaffolds for EL identified student groups (newcomers, LTELs) in which skills and concepts build upon one another with increasing rigor while maintaining high expectations and timely feedback will be provided to help students make adjustments to learning.
 - **Monitoring Plan:** Through PLCs and Common Summative Assessments
 - **Person Responsible:** Administration Learning Strategists, and teachers

Professional Learning

- **Professional Learning for teachers:**
 - All teachers at Cheyenne HS will complete Understanding Language Development (ULD) professional

	<p>ELA ACT from 4.6% in 2023 to 10% by 2024, as measured by ACT.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mathematics Summative Assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Increase the percent of ELLs proficient in Math from 0% in 2023 to 5% by 2024, as measured by ACT. • Language Summative Assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Increase the percent of ELLs meeting Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) in WIDA from 5.2% in 2023 to 10% by 2024, as measured by the WIDA and reported on the NSPF. 	<p>learning series, sessions 1-4 to increase the knowledge and skills of teachers at Cheyenne HS to deliver effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners' access to the content.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ All teachers at Cheyenne HS will participate in a Tier I instruction focused professional learning series that includes standards-based planning, teacher clarity with embedded language objectives, scaffolding, differentiation, standards-based rubrics, and rigorous task development. ◦ English Language Arts teachers will participate in monthly professional learning provided by RPDP August through March. ◦ Professional learning on the implementation of the Tier I curriculum
--	---	---

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Foster/Homeless	Disruptions in housing can negatively affect academic achievement and/or attendance rates.	Students with this status and their families are provided with additional support during the initial registration process. This includes conducting an expedited review of their educational records to ensure that an appropriate academic plan is created and reduce any disruption to their learning. The registrar, counselor, and SEIF work as a team to conduct this review. Once the academic plan is developed, the site social worker and counselor work with the family to ensure they have access to any additional support to address non-academic needs.
Free and Reduced Lunch	Students face challenges related to the hierarchy of basic needs (e.g., housing instability, food insecurity), which can affect their ability to fully engage in academic learning.	In addition to providing all students with free breakfast and lunch, a grab-and-go breakfast is provided after the morning tardy bell to ensure that students who arrive late to school are able to quickly access food and begin their instructional day. Cheyenne HS is currently identified as 100% FRL through the Community Eligibility Program. Therefore counselors work directly with students and their families to inform them of scholarships opportunities and how to access school funds to use towards course fees, athletic/activity fees, and fines from lost/stolen/damaged materials. Cheyenne HS works to remove any economic barriers that would prevent students from graduating, accessing a specific program of study (i.e. CTE pathway, AP course, elective), or applying for post-secondary opportunities.
Migrant/Title1-C Eligible	N/A	N/A

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
<p>Racial/Ethnic Minorities</p>	<p>Data indicates that students from certain racial and ethnic groups are experiencing academic performance gaps. These disparities highlight the need for culturally responsive practices, targeted supports, and equitable access to high-quality instruction.</p>	<p>Cheyenne HS monitors racial/ethnic disproportionality within academic and behavior data sets. When racial/ethnic groups are disproportionately represented within academic outcomes a root cause analysis is conducted and a plan is made to address the adult actions that require modification to address the trend in the data. Often additional instructional opportunities (i.e. tutoring), time to complete work, and opportunities to demonstrate mastery (i.e. retake assessments) are provided to students. Prior to the school year starting, Cheyenne HS staff monitor formative and summative assessment data to ensure that all students whose test scores are within specific ranges are placed in honors, pre-AP, AP, or dual enrollment coursework. Similarly, participation in all CTE, honors, pre-AP, AP and dual enrollment coursework is monitored. Each year goals and action plans to ensure proportionate participation by all student groups are made. A similar root cause analysis is conducted when disproportionate outcomes are observed in behavior data. Often this analysis results in the modification of a schoolwide system used to address student behavior as well as opportunities for students to receive additional social-emotional supports. Cheyenne HS provides qualifying students with access to mental health professionals on campus. Cheyenne HS also provides small group mentoring to students to provide them with opportunities to develop strategies they can use to positively engage in the school setting.</p>

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Students with IEPs	Students receiving special education services may experience academic challenges related to their identified learning needs, which require individualized supports and interventions to ensure access to grade-level content.	Cheyenne HS provides families with access to a Special Education Instructional Facilitator (SEIF) who reviews IEPs to ensure that they are written based on the needs of each student. Additionally, Cheyenne HS funds a full time social worker who assists with referrals to community resources organizations such as The Harbor. This provides families with comprehensive support to address each of their child's needs. The social worker also ensures that there is effective communication between The Harbor and families. Support staff assigned to support students with IEPs are provided with schedules that minimize the variety of content areas they support so they are able to better understand how to support the needs of their students relative to a specific content area.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Success Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): While the MAP Median Growth Percentile between the Fall Benchmark and Spring Benchmark in Reading (50) and Math (64) was at or above the 50th percentile, the MAP Median Achievement Percentile remains below the 50th percentile (Reading: 38; Math: 38)

Critical Root Cause: The majority of students have achievement at or below the 49th percentile on the MAP Benchmark, and the composite score for the ACT for the 2024-2025 school year was 14.2, indicating that there is inconsistent mastery of prerequisite skills/competencies needed to master grade level content.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): AB 219: Students need effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I.

Critical Root Cause: AB219: There is a lack of effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners access to the content that builds English language development and content simultaneously.

Adult Learning Culture

Adult Learning Culture Areas of Strength

There has been a 10% increase in the percentage of students who report that they are getting a good education at the school (2023: 64.90%; 2025: 74.56%). The graduation rate has increased from the historically low rate of 75.5% in 2022 to 85.9% in 2025. The on-time attendance rate for the 2024/25 school year was 79%, which represented about a 5% increase since the 2021/22 school year. Chronic absenteeism has dropped from a high of 54% during the 2022/23 school year to 43.2% during the 2024/25 school year. Over the past three years, teachers have developed common end-of-quarter summative assessments based on high-quality Tier 1 instructional materials in order to maintain consistent expectations around rigor in all courses. The end-of-quarter summative assessments were developed as a result of professional learning provided through the previous school year's adult learning action steps.

Adult Learning Culture Areas for Growth

For the past three years, less than 50% of students have reported that they actively participate in class (2023: 42.46%; 2024: 43.05%; 2025: 47.69%). While 73.4% of students are post-secondary preparation participants, only 33.1% of students are post-secondary preparation completers. 9th grade credit sufficiency is 59.7%, which is almost 20% below the District average. In 2024, 128 students participated in an AP course; however, only 9 students scored a 3 or higher on any AP test. Of the 397 Tier I Monitoring observations conducted, only 59% of the time there was the necessary differentiation observed, and only 68% of the time there was the necessary scaffolding observed.

Adult Learning Culture Equity Resource Supports

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
	Data Reviewed	<p>Improvement Strategy: Professional Learning Communities</p> <p>Evidence Level (1-Strong; 2-Moderate; 3-Promising; 4-Demonstrates a Rationale): 2</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Action Step: Additional instructional coaching has been provided to the English Language Arts department through the Southern Nevada Regional Professional Development Programs (RPDP) to support pedagogically sound Tier I instruction.</p> <p>Monitoring Plan: Monitoring will occur through data analysis and classroom observations</p>

English Learners

Classroom Observations

Understanding Language Development Professional Learning Participation: 68% of Teachers in Session 4, 0% of Administrators have completed session 4

Root Causes: As evidenced by observations and ULD data, the problem is a need for greater awareness of ELL student needs and a need for more support and scaffolds toward high expectations. A root cause of low performance of English language learners in language proficiency and content achievement is due to lack of scaffolds and support in Tier I instruction. Students need more embedded scaffolds within the Tier I instruction.

Goals:

Professional Learning and Collaboration

Increase the number of Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings that follow the Teaching and Learning Cycle from 25% in 22-23 to 95% in 23-24 as measured by PLC meeting backup documentation.

Person Responsible: Administration, RPDP, Learning Strategist, teachers

Action Step: Provide coaching and feedback on the use of discourse structures, engagement strategies, and effective Tier I instruction following observation and lesson plan audits.

Monitoring Plan: Monitoring will occur through data analysis and classroom observations

Person Responsible: Administration, Carnegie, and RPDP

Action Step: Provide PLC systems such as weekly scheduled PLC meetings, PLC documents aligned with the Teaching and Learning cycle, and structured feedback to promote teacher efficacy and rigorous Tier I instruction.

Monitoring Plan: Monitoring will occur through the administration team's observations, PLC document review, and Leadership Team's PLC assessment review.

Person Responsible: Administration and Leadership Team

Professional Learning

Professional Learning teachers:

Professional learning on the use of discourse structures, engagement strategies, and effective Tier I instruction

Professional learning on Depth of Knowledge and Rigor in response to Common Summative Assessment analysis

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Foster/Homeless	Disruptions in housing can negatively affect academic achievement and/or attendance rates.	Students with this status and their families are provided with additional support during the initial registration process. This includes conducting an expedited review of their educational records to ensure that an appropriate academic plan is created and reduce any disruption to their learning. The registrar, counselor, and SEIF work as a team to conduct this review. Once the academic plan is developed, the site social worker and counselor work with the family to ensure they have access to any additional supports to address non-academic needs.
Free and Reduced Lunch	Students face challenges related to the hierarchy of basic needs (e.g., housing instability, food insecurity), which can affect their ability to fully engage in academic learning.	In addition to providing all students with free breakfast and lunch, a grab-and-go breakfast is provided after the morning tardy bell to ensure that students who arrive late to school are able to quickly access food and begin their instructional day. Cheyenne HS is currently identified as 100% FRL through the Community Eligibility Program. Therefore counselors work directly with students and their families to inform them of scholarships opportunities and how to access school funds to use towards course fees, athletic/activity fees, and fines from lost/stolen/damaged materials. Cheyenne HS works to remove any economic barriers that would prevent students from graduating, accessing a specific program of study (i.e. CTE pathway, AP course, elective), or applying for post-secondary opportunities.
Migrant/Title1-C Eligible	N/A	N/A

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
<p>Racial/Ethnic Minorities</p>	<p>Data indicates that students from certain racial and ethnic groups are experiencing academic performance gaps. These disparities highlight the need for culturally responsive practices, targeted supports, and equitable access to high-quality instruction.</p>	<p>Cheyenne HS monitors racial/ethnic disproportionality within academic and behavior data sets. When racial/ethnic groups are disproportionately represented within academic outcomes a root cause analysis is conducted and a plan is made to address the adult actions that require modification to address the trend in the data. Often additional instructional opportunities (i.e. tutoring), time to complete work, and opportunities to demonstrate mastery (i.e. retake assessments) are provided to students. Prior to the school year starting, Cheyenne HS staff monitor formative and summative assessment data to ensure that all students whose test scores are within specific ranges are placed in honors, pre-AP, AP, or dual enrollment coursework. Similarly, participation in all CTE, honors, pre-AP, AP and dual enrollment coursework is monitored. Each year goals and action plans to ensure proportionate participation by all student groups are made. A similar root cause analysis is conducted when disproportionate outcomes are observed in behavior data. Often this analysis results in the modification of a schoolwide system used to address student behavior as well as opportunities for students to receive additional social-emotional supports. Cheyenne HS provides qualifying students with access to mental health professionals on campus. Cheyenne HS also provides small group mentoring to students to provide them with opportunities to develop strategies they can use to positively engage in the school setting.</p>

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Students with IEPs	Students receiving special education services may experience academic challenges related to their identified learning needs, which require individualized supports and interventions to ensure access to grade-level content.	Cheyenne HS provides families with access to a Special Education Instructional Facilitator (SEIF) who reviews IEPs to ensure that they are written based on the needs of each student. Additionally, Cheyenne HS funds a full time social worker who assists with referrals to community resources organizations such as The Harbor. This provides families with comprehensive support to address each of their child's needs. The social worker also ensures that there is effective communication between The Harbor and families. Support staff assigned to support students with IEPs are provided with schedules that minimize the variety of content areas they support so they are able to better understand how to support the needs of their students relative to a specific content area.

Problem Statements Identifying Adult Learning Culture Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students are not consistently given an opportunity to engage in a productive struggle as they work to meet the rigor of the content area standards.

Critical Root Cause: Teachers do not consistently engage students in content-area tasks aligned to the rigor of the standard being taught.

Connectedness

Connectedness Areas of Strength

Students reporting that the school is safe on the CCSD Districtwide Survey has increased from 50.52% in 2023 to 74.39% in 2025. Students reporting that students feel safe in the school has increased from 43.49% in 2023 to 67.60% in 2025. Staff reporting that the school is safe for staff increased from 69.44% in 2023 to 89.47% in 2025. The on-time attendance rate for the 2024/25 school year was 79%, which represented about a 5% increase since the 2021/22 school year. Chronic absenteeism has dropped from a high of 54% during the 2022/23 school year to 43.2% during the 2024/25 school year. Over the past three years, teachers have developed common end-of-quarter summative assessments based on high-quality Tier 1 instructional materials in order to maintain consistent expectations around rigor in all courses. During the 2024/25 school year, 84% of teachers submitted less than 10 major behavior referrals. Suspensions with Instruction decreased from 248 during the 2023/24 school year to 115 during the 2024/25 school year.

Connectedness Areas for Growth

31.32% of students reported that bullying is a problem at the school, 30.06% of students reported that cyberbullying is a problem at this school, and 27.67% of students reported that students are teased or put down because of their race or ethnicity as measured by the 2025 CCSD Districtwide Survey. 23.16% of teachers reported that the school does not set clear rules for behavior on the 2025 CCSD Districtwide Survey. 27.3% of students are involved in 6 or more events on campus. Black/African American students make up 27.4% of the population, but 33.2% of total discipline referrals.

Connectedness Equity Resource Supports

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
English Learners	<p>Data Reviewed</p> <p>CCSD Districtwide Survey</p> <p>Chronic Absenteeism</p> <p>Improvement Strategy: Truancy Diversion</p> <p>Cheyenne High School will develop utilize the Truancy Diversion</p> <p>an umbrella committee structure to mobilize staff, community members, and students to develop plans to improve the school environment, operations, and manner in which students are celebrated.</p> <p>Root Causes: As evidenced by chronic absenteeism data, students on FRL status are experiencing chronic absenteeism at a rate similar to the school population. A root cause of low performance of FRL students in language proficiency and content achievement is due to chronic absenteeism and connecting with families regarding absences as well as transportation and community commitments outside of the school day. Students need clear and consistent communication about attendance protocols.</p> <p>Goals:</p> <p>Chronic Absenteeism</p> <p>Reduce the percent of FRL chronically absent students from 46.7% in 23-24 to 40% by 24-25, as measured by School-Wide absenteeism data. All departments will establish clarity of instruction through effective planning of instruction and hold high expectations through common assessments.</p>	<p>Improvement Strategy: Truancy Diversion</p> <p>Evidence Level (1-Strong; 2-Moderate; 3-Promising; 4-Demonstrates a Rationale): 4</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Action Step: Referrals to Truancy Outreach for families</p> <p>Monitoring Plan: As needed throughout the year based on reports of consecutive absences</p> <p>Person Responsible: Attendance Office</p> <p>Action Step: Teacher connection plans with families regarding absences</p> <p>Monitoring Plan: In development, as needed</p> <p>Person Responsible: Attendance Office, Teachers</p> <p>Professional Learning</p> <p>Professional Learning for office staff:</p> <p>Training on new procedures and protocols</p> <p>What professional learning does the site need around Connectedness for ELL students?</p> <p>English Learners: All cultures of Cheyenne's Community will be permitted to share their culture and customs through student surveys.</p>

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Foster/Homeless	Disruptions in housing can negatively affect academic achievement and/or attendance rates.	<p>Students with this status and their families are provided with additional support during the initial registration process. This includes conducting an expedited review of their educational records to ensure that an appropriate academic plan is created and reduce any disruption to their learning. The registrar, counselor, and SEIF work as a team to conduct this review. Once the academic plan is developed, the site social worker and counselor work with the family to ensure they have access to any additional supports to address non-academic needs.</p> <p>Foster/Homeless: This will be a free to attend event where all Cheyenne Community members are invited to attend. Free and Reduced Lunch: This will be a free to attend event where all Cheyenne Community members are invited to attend. Migrant: This will be a free to attend event where all Cheyenne Community members are invited to attend.</p>
Free and Reduced Lunch	Students face challenges related to the hierarchy of basic needs (e.g., housing instability, food insecurity), which can affect their ability to fully engage in academic learning.	<p>In addition to providing all students with free breakfast and lunch, a grab-and-go breakfast is provided after the morning tardy bell to ensure that students who arrive late to school are able to quickly access food and begin their instructional day. Cheyenne HS is currently identified as 100% FRL through the Community Eligibility Program. Therefore counselors work directly with students and their families to inform them of scholarships opportunities and how to access school funds to use towards course fees, athletic/activity fees, and fines from lost/stolen/damaged materials.</p> <p>Cheyenne HS works to remove any economic barriers that would prevent students from graduating, accessing a specific program of study (i.e. CTE pathway, AP course, elective), or applying for post-secondary opportunities.</p>

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Migrant/Title1-C Eligible	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Minorities	Data indicates that students from certain racial and ethnic groups are experiencing academic performance gaps. These disparities highlight the need for culturally responsive practices, targeted supports, and equitable access to high-quality instruction.	<p>Cheyenne HS monitors racial/ethnic disproportionality within academic and behavior data sets. When racial/ethnic groups are disproportionately represented within academic outcomes a root cause analysis is conducted and a plan is made to address the adult actions that require modification to address the trend in the data.</p> <p>Often additional instructional opportunities (i.e. tutoring), time to complete work, and opportunities to demonstrate mastery (i.e. retake assessments) are provided to students. Prior to the school year starting, Cheyenne HS staff monitor formative and summative assessment data to ensure that all students whose test scores are within specific ranges are placed in honors, pre-AP, AP, or dual enrollment coursework. Similarly, participation in all CTE, honors, pre-AP, AP and dual enrollment coursework is monitored. Each year goals and action plans to ensure proportionate participation by all student groups are made. A similar root cause analysis is conducted when disproportionate outcomes are observed in behavior data. Often this analysis results in the modification of a schoolwide system used to address student behavior as well as opportunities for students to receive additional social-emotional supports.</p> <p>Cheyenne HS provides qualifying students with access to mental health professionals on campus. Cheyenne HS also provides small group mentoring to students to provide them with opportunities to develop strategies they can use to positively engage in the school setting.</p> <p>Racial/Ethnic Minorities: All student racial/ethnic minorities will be invited to be represented at the Multicultural Fair. Students with IEPs: This will be a free to attend event where all Cheyenne Community members are invited to attend.</p>

Student Group	Challenge	Solution
Students with IEPs	Students receiving special education services may experience academic challenges related to their identified learning needs, which require individualized supports and interventions to ensure access to grade-level content.	Cheyenne HS provides families with access to a Special Education Instructional Facilitator (SEIF) who reviews IEPs to ensure that they are written based on the needs of each student. Additionally, Cheyenne HS funds a full time social worker who assists with referrals to community resources organizations such as The Harbor. This provides families with comprehensive support to address each of their child's needs. The social worker also ensures that there is effective communication between The Harbor and families. Support staff assigned to support students with IEPs are provided with schedules that minimize the variety of content areas they support so they are able to better understand how to support the needs of their students relative to a specific content area.

Problem Statements Identifying Connectedness Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The teachers and staff have improved their overall sense of safety; however, almost 1/4 of the staff do not believe there are consistent expectations for behavior which is resulting in disproportionate discipline referrals for Black/African American students.

Critical Root Cause: The school does not utilize a schoolwide expectations and consequence matrix to ensure that behaviors are responded to equitably.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: While the MAP Median Growth Percentile between the Fall Benchmark and Spring Benchmark in Reading (50) and Math (64) was at or above the 50th percentile, the MAP Median Achievement Percentile remains below the 50th percentile (Reading: 38; Math: 38)

Critical Root Cause 1: The majority of students have achievement at or below the 49th percentile on the MAP Benchmark, and the composite score for the ACT for the 2024-2025 school year was 14.2, indicating that there is inconsistent mastery of prerequisite skills/competencies needed to master grade level content.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Success

Problem Statement 2: Students are not consistently given an opportunity to engage in a productive struggle as they work to meet the rigor of the content area standards.

Critical Root Cause 2: Teachers do not consistently engage students in content-area tasks aligned to the rigor of the standard being taught.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Adult Learning Culture

Problem Statement 3: The teachers and staff have improved their overall sense of safety; however, almost 1/4 of the staff do not believe there are consistent expectations for behavior which is resulting in disproportionate discipline referrals for Black/African American students.

Critical Root Cause 3: The school does not utilize a schoolwide expectations and consequence matrix to ensure that behaviors are responded to equitably.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Connectedness

Problem Statement 4: AB 219: Students need effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I.

Critical Root Cause 4: AB219: There is a lack of effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners access to the content that builds English language development and content simultaneously.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Success

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Student Success

- Graduation rates/GED/HiSET data
- MAP Growth Assessment
- SAT, ACT, PSAT or ASPIRE

Adult Learning Culture

- Student Climate Survey
- Other
 - 9th grade credit sufficiency; College and Career Program Completion

Connectedness

- Behavior
- Community surveys and/or other feedback
- PBIS/MTSS data
- Perception/survey data
- Other
 - Outreach Session Data from TFI 3.0

Inquiry Areas

Inquiry Area 1: Student Success

SMART Goal 1: Increase the percentage of students scoring above the 60th percentile in math from 32% (Spring 2025) to 37% (Spring '26) as measured by MAP Growth Assessments. Increase the percentage of students scoring above the 60th percentile in reading from 28% (Spring 2025) to 33% (Spring '26).

Formative Measures: MAP Growth Assessment Data
Classroom observations
PLC observations

Aligns with District Goal

Improvement Strategy 1 Details				Reviews		
Improvement Strategy 1: Teachers will use a Data Driven Instruction and Assessment Cycle to monitor Student Learning Goals aligned with College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards and content area standards through the use of common end-of-quarter summative assessments.				Status Check		EOY Reflection
				Oct	Feb	June
				No review	No review	
Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline			
1	Teachers will review disaggregated student outcomes from the prior school year.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025			
2	Teachers and administrators will participate in professional learning on the six period day lesson plan template. During this professional learning, teachers will identify the standards students will need to demonstrate mastery of by the end of the quarter from the CCSD Pacing Guides and develop a rigorous, common end-of-quarter summative assessment.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025			
3	Teachers and administrators will participate in professional learning on developing scaffolds and differentiation using MAP Benchmark assessment results. During this professional learning, teachers will engage in data analysis using prior MAP Benchmark assessment results in order to align student needs to appropriate scaffolds and differentiation.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025, September 2025, October 2025, April 2026			

Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline
4	Teachers will identify the specific success criteria that will determine whether students are able to demonstrate mastery of content area standards and use these criteria to create a common end-of-quarter summative assessment.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
5	Teachers will administer a content-area-specific formative assessment in order to establish an initial baseline for mastery of standards. 9th and 10th grade teachers in English and math will administer the MAP Benchmark assessment.	Teachers	August 2025
6	Teachers will participate in professional learning on aligning standards to rigorous tasks. During this professional learning teachers will develop lesson plans based on student outcomes as measured by content area assessments and MAP Benchmark assessments.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025, September 2025, October 2025, April 2026
7	Teachers will modify their initial long-range instructional plans to ensure they provide opportunities for targeted instruction based on the gaps in mastery identified during the administration of the benchmark assessment.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
8	Teachers and administrators will participate in professional learning on how to develop Student Learning Goals.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	September 2025
9	Teachers will set Student Learning Goals. 9th and 10th grade teachers in English and math will set RIT goals for the upcoming benchmark at or above the 50th percentile of typical growth.	Teachers	September 2025
10	Teachers and students will review goals together, both individually and as a class, as relevant to student need.	Teachers	Quarterly
11	Teachers will provide content area instruction at the level of rigor required for students to demonstrate mastery on the content-area end-of-quarter summative assessment.	Teachers	Daily
12	Administrators will conduct quarterly lesson plan reviews to identify instructional practice trends and calibrate expectations among all content areas.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
13	Teachers will administer the common content-area-specific end-of-quarter summative assessment.	Teachers	Quarterly

Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline
14	Teachers will review trends in mastery in order to create modifications to long-range plans that address the needs of their students.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	Quarterly
15	Teachers and students will review trends in data from the common content-area-specific end-of-quarter summative assessment, both individually and as a class to develop lesson plan modifications for the next quarter.	Teachers, Students	Quarterly
16	9th and 10th grade teachers of English and math will administer the subsequent MAP Benchmark assessment. These teachers will review trends in mastery and share results with students both individually and as a class.	Teachers	November/ December 2025, May 2026
17	Review common summative and past student data on assessment to modify common assessment.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	Quarterly

Position Responsible: Principal and Assistant Principals

Resources Needed: Common preparatory periods included in the development of the master schedule.
Substitutes to cover teacher classes allowing for participation in Professional Learning through contractual days.
Regularly scheduled professional learning during contractual hours.

Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements:

--	--	--

Improvement Strategy 2 Details				Reviews		
Improvement Strategy 2: Teachers will facilitate a Data Driven Instruction and Assessment Cycle through the use of Professional Learning Communities during which instruction and assessment are aligned to student needs and grade level standards.				Status Check		EOY Reflection
				Oct	Feb	June
				No review	No review	
Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline			
1	Targeted professional Learning for administrators, department chairs, and teachers on the schoolwide PLC process and PLC tracking document.	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025			
2	Teachers will engage in professional learning on the PLC roles in order to expand capacity for all teachers to facilitate PLCs that focus on student outcomes	Teachers, Supervising Administrators	August 2025, September 2025, November 2025, April 2026			
3	Administrators and department chairs will work collaboratively to develop the weekly PLC focus using the PLC tracking document in alignment with the CCSD Teaching and Learning Cycle.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs	Weekly			
4	Teachers will identify their weekly PLC task on the PLC tracking document based on the department's focus.	Department Chairs, Teachers	Weekly			
5	Teachers and administrators will collaboratively develop PLC agenda templates based on the PLC+ structures in alignment with the tasks identified on the PLC tracking document.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs Teachers	Weekly			
6	Support PLC groups through PLC logs, review of data collected, and administrative observations.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs	Weekly			
7	Provide target opportunities for additional professional learning outside of contractual hours in various content areas based on the monitoring of PLC efficacy.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly based on PLC cycle			
Position Responsible: Principal, Assistant Principal Resources Needed: Regularly scheduled PLC time during the contractual work day. Extra duty pay for department chairs in order to attend targeted professional learning on facilitating the PLC process Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 Evidence Level Problem Statements/Critical Root Cause: Student Success 1						

SMART Goal 1 Problem Statements:

Student Success

Problem Statement 1: While the MAP Median Growth Percentile between the Fall Benchmark and Spring Benchmark in Reading (50) and Math (64) was at or above the 50th percentile, the MAP Median Achievement Percentile remains below the 50th percentile (Reading: 38; Math: 38) **Critical Root Cause:** The majority of students have achievement at or below the 49th percentile on the MAP Benchmark, and the composite score for the ACT for the 2024-2025 school year was 14.2, indicating that there is inconsistent mastery of prerequisite skills/competencies needed to master grade level content.

Inquiry Area 1: Student Success

SMART Goal 2: AB 219: Increase the percentage of ELs proficient in ELA ACT from 4.6% in 2023 to 10% by 2024, as measured by ACT.

AB 219: Increase the percentage of ELs proficient in Math from 0% in 2023 to 5% by 2024, as measured by ACT.

AB 219: Increase the percentage of ELs meeting Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) in WIDA from 5.2% in 2023 to 10% by 2024, as measured by the WIDA and reported on the NSPF.

Formative Measures: MAP Growth Assessment Data
CERT data

Aligns with District Goal

Improvement Strategy 1 Details				Reviews		
Improvement Strategy 1: Professional Learning Communities				Status Check		EOY Reflection
Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline	Oct	Feb	June
1	A learning strategist has been provided with time to meet with targeted students in order to ensure expectations are understood.	ELL Liaison	August 2025-May 2026	No review	No review	
2	Teachers will facilitate a Data Driven Instruction and Assessment Cycle through the use of Professional Learning Communities during which instruction and assessment are aligned to student needs and grade level standards.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	August 2025-May 2026			
3	Three language acquisition classes have been created to provide targeted support to second language learners in order to assist them to master grade level content.	ELL Liaison	August 2025-May 2026			
4	Core content Tier I instruction will be planned with appropriate scaffolds for EL identified student groups (newcomers, LTELs) in which skills and concepts build upon one another with increasing rigor while maintaining high expectations and timely feedback will be provided to help students make adjustments to learning.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers, ELL Liaison	August 2025-May 2026			
5	All teachers at Cheyenne HS will complete Understanding Language Development (ULD) professional learning series, sessions 1-4 to increase the knowledge and skills of teachers at Cheyenne HS to deliver effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners' access to the content.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers, ELL Liaison	August 2025-May 2026			

Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline
6	All teachers at Cheyenne HS will participate in a Tier I instruction focused professional learning series that includes standards-based planning, teacher clarity with embedded language objectives, scaffolding, differentiation, standards-based rubrics, and rigorous task development.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	August 2025-May 2026
7	English Language Arts teachers will participate in monthly professional learning provided by RPDP August through March.	Supervising administrator, Department Chair, English Teachers	August 2025-May 2026
8	Professional learning on the implementation of the Tier I curriculum		September 2025, October 2025, January 2026, April 2026

Position Responsible: Administration, teachers, and learning strategist(s)

Problem Statements/Critical Root Cause: Student Success 2

SMART Goal 2 Problem Statements:

Student Success

Problem Statement 2: AB 219: Students need effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I. **Critical Root Cause:** AB219: There is a lack of effective, grade-level instruction in Tier I designed to provide English learners access to the content that builds English language development and content simultaneously.

Inquiry Area 2: Adult Learning Culture

SMART Goal 1: Increase the percentage of students who are observed engaged in the learning process by owning the learning required to understand and/or master the standard(s) from 56% in May 2025 to 66% in May 2026 as measured by the Tier 1 Instructional Monitoring Tool.

Formative Measures: Tier 1 Instructional Monitoring Tool

Aligns with District Goal

Improvement Strategy 1 Details				Reviews		
Improvement Strategy 1: Teachers and administrators will plan purposeful Tier 1 instruction including rigorous formative tasks aligned to the academic needs of students and content-area standards.				Status Check		EOY Reflection
				Oct	Feb	June
				No review	No review	
Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline			
1	All department chairs and administrators will review Tier I monitoring data and guide their department through instructional practice reflection.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	August 2025, September 2025, October 2025, April 2026			
2	The Leadership Team and teachers will conduct instructional rounds to collect qualitative data to identify school-wide trends to plan purposeful professional learning associated with engagement, scaffolding, and differentiation.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs	Quarterly			
3	Administrators and Advanced Placement teachers will review AP data and assessment questions to identify gaps in instruction to meet the level of rigor of assessment.	Supervising Administrators, AP Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle			
4	Administrators and department chairs will review coursework pathways within their content area to identify competencies students need to successfully complete each pathway.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs	August 2025, September 2025, October 2025, April 2026			
5	Administrators and department chairs will conduct walkthroughs using the Tier I Monitoring tool.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs	Monthly			
6	Administrators and teachers will participate in professional learning on gradual release and engagement strategies.	Supervising Administrators, Teachers	August 2025, September 2025, October 2025, April 2026			

Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline
7	Department chairs will meet with PLCs to review formative tasks and the alignment to end-of-quarter common summative assessments.	Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
8	PLCs will review summative tasks using the Planning PLC form and identify success criteria to create purposeful formative tasks that progressively engage students to mastery of the standard.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
9	PLCs will use Tier I instructional materials to create rigorous formative tasks.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
10	PLCs will review student work to calibrate expectations for student performance.	Supervising Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers	Quarterly as part of the PLC cycle
11	Administrators will review lesson plans at least once a quarter to identify content standard, Success Criteria, and task alignment in order to provide department feedback and support.	Supervising Administrators	Quarterly

Position Responsible: Principal, Assistant Principal

Resources Needed: Professional learning cycle that responds to needs based on observation data.
Extra-duty pay for professional learning.

Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements:

2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2

Evidence Level

Problem Statements/Critical Root Cause: Adult Learning Culture 1

SMART Goal 1 Problem Statements:

Adult Learning Culture

Problem Statement 1: Students are not consistently given an opportunity to engage in a productive struggle as they work to meet the rigor of the content area standards. **Critical**
Root Cause: Teachers do not consistently engage students in content-area tasks aligned to the rigor of the standard being taught.

Inquiry Area 3: Connectedness

SMART Goal 1: Reduce the suspension and expulsion rates for each student group to be less than a 10 percentage point difference from the student group enrollment during the 2025-2026 school year, as measured by school-wide behavior data.

Formative Measures: Behavior trend data from FocusED
TFI 3.0

Aligns with District Goal

Improvement Strategy 1 Details				Reviews		
Improvement Strategy 1: Strengthen Tier I Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) by identifying necessary action steps based on the results of the Tiered Fidelity Inventory (TFI) 3.0.				Status Check		EOY Reflection
				Oct	Feb	June
				No review	No review	
Action #	Actions for Implementation	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline			
1	Administer TFI 3.0 to obtain baseline data.	Supervising Administrator, Teachers	May 2025			
2	Based on the results of the TFI, prioritize one to three items for implementation focus.	Supervising Administrator, Teachers	May 2025-June 2025			
3	Administer the TFI 3.0, focused on the prioritized implementation items to monitor progress.	Supervising Administrator, PBIS Teacher Team	December 2025			
4	Continue to work on strengthening Tier I PBIS implementation.	Supervising Administrator, PBIS Teacher Team	Monthly			
5	Administer the TFI 3.0, focused on the prioritized implementation items to monitor progress.	Supervising Administrator, PBIS Teacher Team	May 2026			
Position Responsible: Principal, Assistant Principals Resources Needed: Regular meetings during the contractual day. Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 Evidence Level Level 1: Strong: PBIS Problem Statements/Critical Root Cause: Connectedness 1						

SMART Goal 1 Problem Statements:

Connectedness

Problem Statement 1: The teachers and staff have improved their overall sense of safety; however, almost 1/4 of the staff do not believe there are consistent expectations for behavior which is resulting in disproportionate discipline referrals for Black/African American students. **Critical Root Cause:** The school does not utilize a schoolwide expectations and consequence matrix to ensure that behaviors are responded to equitably.

Schoolwide and Targeted Assistance Title I Elements

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment is completed by the Leadership Team and the School Organizational Team. Both groups are provided with data to reflect on related to the current school year's action plan to promote reflection on which areas need to be amended. Both academic and social-emotional data sets are provided to these teams for review. Additionally, Cheyenne High School utilizes survey data from students, parents, and staff to determine which actions are having the greatest impact on student learning.

2.1: School Performance Plan (SPP) developed with appropriate stakeholders

The School Organizational Team is utilized to solicit feedback and promote deeper discussion between staff and families on specific components of the plan. Guiding questions are provided to the School Organizational Team to ensure that feedback can be developed into specific actions. Department Chairs facilitate deep discussions about specific components of the plan with teachers. This feedback is then shared with the entire Leadership Team, who then makes recommendations about which actions should be modified.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

During Act 2 (September-October and January -February): Navigating Our Course, CI teams will monitor progress toward achieving goals by engaging in the Now, Next, Need question protocol as data is analyzed and plan implementation is reflected upon. Real-time adjustments will be made, as needed, to ensure the plan results in the intended improvements. The outcomes of this analysis will be documented in the Status Checks section of this plan and posted on school websites to serve as a communication tool with the school community.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

Per Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS).388G, school plans must be posted on both the District and school websites. Upon approval, the School Performance Plan (SPP: Roadmap) is posted following each Act in the Continuous Improvement Process. With 111 languages in our district, translation services are available upon request.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

An adopted curriculum aligned with state standards in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies, including differentiated scaffolds and supports, is used. Districtwide Multi-tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) are implemented in every Clark County School District school.

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

The majority of Title 1 funding is utilized to reduce class size and expand instructional pathways for students. Smaller class sizes provide students with more individualized instruction to increase graduation rates. Additional teachers also allow us to increase the number of courses provided to students to support them earning college credits and advanced diplomas. Additionally, a social worker is funded through our Title 1 budget. This individual improves our capacity to provide Tier 2 and Tier 3 social emotional support to students.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

Please refer to the Equity Resource Supports table within each Inquiry Area to see challenges and solutions developed to ensure the needs of all students are considered and addressed.

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

During Act 3 (May-June): Reviewing Our Journey, CI teams will reflect on the school year and determine which goals and improvement strategies will continue, be corrected, or be canceled in the following school year as part of the continuous improvement process.

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent Involvement and Family Engagement Policy

The school leadership, staff, parents, and community stakeholders collaborate annually to develop and revise the Parent Involvement and Family Engagement Policy (PIFEP), providing input throughout the process. The finalized plan is then posted on the school website for accessibility.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

Refer to the Community Outreach Activities table for parent involvement opportunities.

5.1: Determine which students will be served by following local policy

N/A

Plan Notes

Funding Source	Amount	Purpose(s) for which funds are used	Applicable Goal(s)
General Funds Allocation	\$10,127,092.96	Administrative, Licensed, and support staff, instructional supplies, extra duty pay	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
At-Risk Weighted Allocation	\$1,873,840.44	Licensed, and support staff, instructional supplies, extra duty pay	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
EL Weighted Allocation	\$1,064,347.80	Licensed, and support staff, instructional supplies	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
General Carry Forward	\$3,358,038.81	Licensed, and support staff, instructional supplies	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
At-Risk Weighted Carry Forward	\$1,241,805.73	Licensed, and support staff, instructional supplies	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
EL Weighted Carry Forward	\$9,588.23	Extra duty pay	Student Success, Adult Learning Culture, Connectedness
Title IA	\$		

School Continuous Improvement Team

Team Role	Name	Position
Student	Scott Carrillo	Student Body President
Parent	Pauline Taylor	Parent
Paraprofessional	Irma Quijas	Administrative School Secretary
Teacher	Derek Johnson	Math DC
Teacher	Nicole Edwards	Fine and Performing Arts DC
Teacher	Ezekiel Herndon	Resource DC
Teacher	Zarinah Muhammad	English Teacher
Teacher	Alicia Ashton	Science DC
Teacher	Katherine Keith	Social Studies DC
Teacher	Jennifer West	PE DC
Teacher	Levette McEaddy	Lead Counselor
Teacher	Grace Gorrell	English DC
Administrator	Tracey Warren	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Cristal Boisseau	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Maria Konrad	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Jeff Watkins	Assistant Principal
Administrator	Cheri Shumsker	Assistant Principal
Required	Anthony Nunez	Principal

Community Outreach Activities

Activity	Date	Lesson Learned
SOT Meeting	4/22/25	SOT provided feedback on the SPP in alignment with Act 3.
Leadership Team Meeting	4/30/25	Staff feedback was aligned to areas of the SPP in order to promote thinking about new action steps.
Leadership Team Meeting	5/6/25	Need, Now, Next protocol for SPP completed.
Leadership Team Meeting	5/12/25	Need, Now, Next protocol for SPP completed.
Leadership Team Meeting	5/20/25	SPP was annotated in order to begin to revise action steps for the upcoming school year's SIP.
SOT Meeting	5/20/25	The SOT approved the process to transition the SPP to the SIP and provided additional feedback for Act 1.
Student Success Workgroup	5/30/25	Student Success action planning included revising the PLC process for the upcoming school year.
Connectedness Workgroup	6/3/25	Connectedness action planning included completing the TFI 3.0.
Adult Learning Workgroup	6/6/25	Adult Learning action planning included completely revising this section of the SIP to better support the Student Success section.
Connectedness Workgroup	6/10/25	Connectedness action planning included developing a schoolwide behavior matrix aligned to the student core values.